



JON M. HUNTSMAN, JR.
Governor

GARY R. HERBERT
Lieutenant Governor

State of Utah

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

Division of Oil, Gas & Mining

MICHAEL R. STYLER
Executive Director

JOHN R. BAZA
Division Director

Inspection Report

Minerals Regulatory Program

August 21, 2006

Supervisor 1007

Mine Name: Jumbo/Jensen Quarry
Operator Name: U.S. Gypsum Corp.

Permit number: M0410008
Inspection Date: August 2, 2006
Time: 8:00 am

Inspector(s): Doug Jensen and Lynn Kunzler, DOGM
Other Participants: Joe Crawford, George Mynar, Bruce Allen, and others
Mine Status: Active

Elements of Inspection	Evaluated	Comment	Enforcement
1. Permits, Revisions, Transfer, Bonds	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Public Safety (shafts, adits, trash, signs, highwalls)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Protection of Drainages / Erosion Control	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Deleterious Material	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Roads (maintenance, surfacing, dust control, safety)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Concurrent Reclamation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Backfilling/Grading (trenches, pits, roads,	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Soils	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Revegetation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Purpose of Inspection:

Inspection made at request of operator to review site for 5-year bond review.

Inspection Summary:

1. This site was active during this inspection. Of concern was upgrading the plan and the reclamation surety. We reviewed several sites, including the 'crescent' area, which was reclaimed about 4 years ago (and has not yet been released). Vegetation data was collected to determine if success standards have been met.
7. As we visited the sites, we made comments on backfilling and grading, making suggestions on stockpiling soils and overburden strategically so that regrading could be accomplished with a dozer rather than load/haul. We also discussed the use of a trackhoe to pull back some dumps and road fill areas for reclamation.

Photos were taken to document site conditions.

Inspection Date: August 2, 2006; Report Date: August 21, 2006
Page 2 of 2
M0410008

Conclusions and Recommendations:

1. About 1/3 of the Crescent area had successful revegetation, meeting about 75% of the pre-mine vegetation ground cover. The other 2/3 of the area will be reseeded again this fall to achieve the revegetation success.

The operator will provide the Division with upgraded maps and plans for the reclamation surety update. The operator plans to update some of the data with a GPS so that accurate maps are generated. They also plan to have the disturbed area flown to upgrade the survey as well. It is expected to have this completed by the end of September 2006.

Continue to monitor this site when in the area.

Inspector's Signature _____



Date: August 21, 2006

LK:pb

cc: Operator

O:\M041-Sevier\M0410008-JumboJensen\inspections\insp-08022006.doc